UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,754	03/17/2005	Giovanni Bocola	1011-637	6838	
.,	7590 04/10/2001 COSTIGAN P.C.	1	EXAM	AMINER	
1185 AVENUE	E OF THE AMERICAS	\$	SMALLEY, JAMES N		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3781		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE PAPER		
3 MO	NTHS	04/10/2007			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· .		10/500,754	BOCOLA, GIOVANNI			
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James N. Smalley	3781			
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication a ply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	; 		
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of the MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period poly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statisticated by the Office later than three months after the mainent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Disposition o	of Claims					
4a) 0 5)∭ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdre m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. m(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.	•	•		
Application F	Papers .					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of (3) Informatio	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/500,754

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only--, and/or, --cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 3-8 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seban WO 01/72167.

Seban '167 teaches a container for make-up comprising an inner vessel (2a), an outer mantle (5) extending lower than the inner vessel and a closure (4) formed in one piece with the container.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sharkan et al. US 5,209,184.

Sharkan '184 teaches a pet travel bowl comprising an inner vessel (22), an outer mantle (24) extending lower than the inner vessel. Examiner notes that while there is hook and loop fastener (36) disposed on the bottom of the bowl, the actual bowl bottom surface (22b) does not extend as far as the bottom edge of the outer mantle (24).

Examiner notes the container is capable of being used in the intended manner, i.e. it is capable of holding cosmetic and pharmaceutical creams. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the

Art Unit: 3781

manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Friedman US 1,852,455.

Friedman '455 teaches a container for make-up comprising an inner vessel (3), an outer mantle (2) extending lower than the inner vessel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharkan et al. US 5,209,184.

Sharkan '184 fails to teach the cover molded in one piece with the container.

Examiner notes it is well known to form tethered closures integral with their containers motivated by the benefit of preventing separation of the cap and container, should the snap connection such as that in Sharkan '184 come undone.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the closure cap of Sharkan '184, forming it integral with the container, motivated by the benefit of preventing separation of the cap and container, should the snap connection come undone, because it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

Art Unit: 3781

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See attached PTO-892 citing relevant references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jns

ANTHONY D. STASHICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700